United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/664,893	09/22/2003	Karlheinz Hermann	0902-006	4265	
7590 10/12/2007 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		EXAMINER			
Potomac Patent Group, PLLC			PRITCHETT, JOSHUA L		
PO Box 855 McLean, VA 22101-0855			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2872		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/12/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Best Available Copy

	Adviso	rv Acti	on		
Before th	e Filing	of an	App	eal Brief	,

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/664,893	HERMANN ET AL:
Examiner	Art Unit
Joshua L. Pritchett	2872

Advisory Action	10/004,893	HERMANN ET AL
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit
	Joshua L. Pritchett	2872
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>28 September 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI		
1. ☐ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on		*·
this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow	ving replies: (1) an amendment, affi	idavit, or other evidence, which
places the application in condition for allowance: (2) a No	tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)
a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance	e with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	ust be filed within one of the following
time periods:		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.	二基制 医牙科氏病
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	in the final rejection, whichever is later. In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	b) ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		36/a) and the appropriate extension fee
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex	tension and the corresponding amount of	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee
under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s	hortened statutory period for reply original	nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later	than three months after the mailing dat	te of the final rejection, even if timely filed,
may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 GFR 1.704(b)		
NOTICE OF APPEAL		2. 計解 タラ田葉と
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 30 August 2007. A brief	of in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37	must be filed within two months of
the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply	or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4	d set forth in 37 CEP 41 37(a)
AMENDMENTS	Those be lifed within the time peno	u set lotti iii 37 CFR 41.37(a).
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered because
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO	TE Helow
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		i L. below),
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet		ducing or simplifying the issues for
appeal; and/or	ici idini idi appeal by matemany re	ducing of simplifying the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reig	ected claims
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		ooted plants.
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		impliant / monament (1 102 024).
3. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al		timely filed amendment canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	iowabic ii subiliitted iii a separate,	uniery nieu amendment canceling the
7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	will not be entered, or b) I wil	I be entered and an explanation of
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro-		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>15-25 and 27-34</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
• • •	The second secon	-4:
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and 	t before or on the date of filing a No	otice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1:116(e).	inclent reasons why the amuav	it offoliter evidence is necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing	a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the	date of filing a brief will not be
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails to provide a
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	and was not earlier presented. Se	ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attached.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		,
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowance because:
		(- 40
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	
13. ☐ Other:		of patho
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Men 2/ the
	医雷克雷氏 医髓上腺管 化	Joshua L Pritchett
		Examiner
		Art Unit: 2872

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Application No. 10/664,893

Application No. 10/6

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The applicant cancelled all pending claims and added all new claims. The new claims include different limitations and therefore would require a new search to determine patentability.